- 1		·
1 2	John Akopian (SBN 238226) John Akopain & Associates 1101 E. Broadway	SUPPORS
	Suite 200	County of Sen &
3	Glendale, CA 91205 Telephone: (818) 244-0050 Facsimile: (818) 244-0051	CLERK OF THE COL
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff	O ALY DELAVERA CO
6		Deputy
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
8	FOR THE COUNT	Y OF SAN FRANCISCO
9		
10	EDGAR HARUTYUNYAN,	CASE NO.: CGC-14-543337
11		COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES:
12	Plaintiff,) (1) NATIONAL ORIGIN/ANCESTRY) DISCRIMINATION (Cal Gov. Code
13	·) Sec. 12940)) (2) DISABILITY/MEDICAL
14		CONDITION DISCRIMINATION (Cal. Gov. Code Sec. 12940)
15) (3) HARASSMENT AND HOSTILE) WORK ENVIRONMENT (Cal. Gov.
16) Code Sec. 12940)) (4) RETALIATION (Cal. Gov. Code.
17 18		Sec. 12940)) (5) FAILURE TO PREVENT HAPASSMENT HOSTILE
19) HARASSMENT, HOSTILE) WORK ENVIRONMENT,) DISCRIMINATION AND
20) RETALIATION (Cal. Gov. Code) Sec. 12940)
21) (6) WRONGFUL TERMINATION IN VIOLATION OF PUBLIC POLICY
22) (7) NEGLIGENT HIRING,) RETENTION AND SUPERVISION
23) (8) INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONS DISTRESS
24	BLOOMBERG LP; and DOE)
25	DEFENDANTS 1 through 100, Inclusive,	
26		
27		
28	Defendants.	UNLIMITED JURISDICTION OVER \$25,000.00
		1

COMPLAINT

Case 3:15-cv-00388-TEH Docu	ment 1-1	Filed 01/27/15	Page 3 of 35	CM
Y WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): , Esq. (SBN 238226) way, Suite 200 91205			FOR COURT US ANY S SUPPRIOR COURT, COURT	ORSE
(919) 244 0050			DEC .	Francis

John Akopian, Esq. (SBN 238226) 1101 E. Broadway, Suite 200	Section Cases				
Glendale, CA 91205	DEC 22 2014 BY. ROSSAN OF THE				
TELEPHONE NO.: (818) 244-0050 ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff Edgar Haruty	DEC 22 2014 CLERK OF THE COURT Deputy Clerk				
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Sa	n Francisco	ROSSAU OF THE			
street address: 400 McAllister Street Mailing address: 400 McAllister Street	THE COURT				
city and zip code: San Francisco, CA 94		CGA-NAVADO			
BRANCH NAME: Civic Center Courtho	Deputy Clerk .				
CASE NAME:		-74 .			
Edgar Harutyunyan v. Bloomber LP,		CASIDIMMER.			
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET Unlimited Limited	Complex Case Designation	CASCONGER: 14-543337			
(Amount (Amount	Counter Joinder	JUDGE:			
demanded demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defenda	nt DEPT:			
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402) ow must be completed (see instructions or	<u></u>			
1. Check one box below for the case type that		, pago 2).			
Auto Tort		rovisionally Complex Civil Litigation			
Auto (22)	Dicacit of continuon training (co)	cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403)			
Uninsured motorist (46) Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Rule 3.740 collections (09) Other collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03) Construction defect (10)			
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)			
Asbestos (04)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)			
Product liability (24)	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)			
Medical malpractice (45) Other PI/PD/WD (23)	Eminent domain/Inverse condemnation (14)	Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case			
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	types (41)			
Business tort/unfair business practice (07)	Other real property (26)	nforcement of Judgment			
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)			
Defamation (13)	Commercial (31) Residential (32)	iscellaneous Civil Complaint			
Fraud (16) Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	RICO (27) Other complaint (not specified above) (42)			
Professional negligence (25)	Indiaial Flavious	iscellaneous Civil Petition			
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)			
Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)			
✓ Wrongful termination (36) Other employment (15)	Writ of mandate (02)				
	Other judicial review (39)	es of Court. If the case is complex, mark the			
factors requiring exceptional judicial manage	gement:	•			
a. Large number of separately represb. Extensive motion practice raising	`	or witnesses ith related actions pending in one or more courts			
issues that will be time-consuming		es, states, or countries, or in a federal court			
c. Substantial amount of documenta		stjudgment judicial supervision			
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a.	monetary b. nonmonetary; de	claratory or injunctive relief cpunitive			
		;Wrongful Term.;Neg.;Emo.Distress			
	s action suit.				
6. If there are any known related cases, file a	nd serve a notice of related case. (You ma	ay use form CM-015.)			
Date: December 7, 2014					
John Akopian, Esq. (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(SIG	NATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)			
		(except small claims cases or cases filed s of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result			
in sanctions. • File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule. • If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all other parties to the action or proceeding.					
Unless this is a collections case under rule	3.740 or a complex case, this cover shee				
Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California CM-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007]	CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Page 1 of 2 Cal. Rules of Court, rules 2.30, 3.220, 3.400-3.403, 3.740; Cal. Standards of Judicial Administration, std. 3.10 www.courtinfo.ca.gov			

COMES NOW Plaintiff EDGAR HARUTYUNYAN and hereby DEMANDS A JURY TRIAL, and hereby files this Complaint and alleges, as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendants because they are residents of and/or are doing business in the State of California, County of San Francisco, entered into a contractual relationship in the State of California, County of San Francisco, and/or otherwise as alleged herein, at all relevant times herein.
- 2. Venue is proper in this county in accordance with Section 395 et seq. of the California Code of Civil Procedure because (a) some or all of the defendants reside in San Francisco County and/or they are doing business in the State of California, County of San Francisco; (b) the injury/damages occurred in the State of California, County of San Francisco; © the parties entered into a contractual relationship and the breach occurred in the State of California, County of San Francisco; and/or (d) as otherwise alleged herein, at all relevant times herein.
- 3. This case has been filed in the Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco, Civic Center Courthouse, because under the Local Rules this action may be filed in the Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco, Civic Center Courthouse.

THE PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff EDGAR HARUTYUNYAN ("Plaintiff") is a competent adult over the age of 18 years and a resident of the State of California, County of San Francisco, at all relevant times herein.
- 5. Defendant BLOOMBERG LP ("Defendant Bloomberg) is limited partnership authorized to do business and/or doing business within the State of California, County of Los San Francisco, with its principal place of business located within the State of California, County of San Francisco at 3 Pier, San Francisco, CA 94111.

DOE ALLEGATIONS AND AGENCY

6. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that those defendants designated as DOE DEFENDANTS 1 through 100, inclusive, ("Doe Defendants") are

responsible in some manner of way for the events transactions, happenings, representations, conduct, acts and/or omissions and caused injury and damage thereby to the Plaintiff, as alleged herein. Doe Defendants are residents of the State of California, County of San Francisco, a business, form unknown, organized and operating under the laws of the State of California, County of San Francisco, and/or authorized to do business and doing business within the State of California, County of San Francisco, at all relevant times herein. The true names and capacities of defendants named herein as Doe Defendants whether a corporation, agent, individual, or otherwise, are unknown to Plaintiff who therefore sues Doe Defendants by fictitious names pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 474. Plaintiff will amend this Complaint to show true names and capacities when they have been determined.

7. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant and/or Doe Defendants were the authorized licensee, agent, principal, partner, joint venturer, guarantor (actual or ostensible) or delagee of the other remaining Defendants and/or Doe Defendants and had full authority to do as alleged herein. Defendant and/or Doe Defendants were under the direct supervision, employ, and/or control of the other remaining defendants, and/or the employees, representatives and/or agents of the other remaining Defendants and/or Doe Defendants and acting within the course and scope of their employment, representation, and/or agency such that the acts, representations and/or omissions alleged herein was within the scope of actual or apparent authority and/or the acts, representations and/or omissions alleged herein were ratified and adopted by each other remaining Defendants and/or Doe Defendants as principal, at all relevant times herein. Each Defendant and/or Doe Defendant was completely dominated and controlled by the other Defendants and each was the alter ego of the other.

COMMON ALLEGATIONS

8. Plaintiff is Armenian and his national origin/ancestry is Armenia. Plaintiff brings this action for the purpose of obtaining relief and damages under California law and alleges certain causes of action, as follows: 1) National Origin/Ancestry Discrimination (Cal. Gov. Code Sec. 12940 et seq.); 2) Disability/Medical Condition Discrimination (Cal. Gov. Code Sec. 12940 et seq.; 3) Harassment and Hostile Work Environment (Cal. Gov. Code Sec. 12940 et. seq.;

4) Retaliation (Cal. Gov. Code Sec. 12940 et seq.); 5) Failure to Prevent Harassment, Hostile Work Environment, Discrimination and Retaliation (Cal. Gov. Code Sec. 12940 et seq.; 6) Wrongful Termination in Violation of Public Policy; 7) Negligent Hiring, Retention and Supervision; and 8) Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress. Plaintiff seeks general, compensatory, punitive, actual damages, liquidated and statutory damages, penalties, costs, prejudgment interest, post judgment interest, attorneys' fees, and/or all damages provided for by law resulting from Defendants' unlawful and tortious conduct, as alleged herein. Each of the Defendants is sued individually and in its, his or her capacity as an employer, owner, agent, representative, manager, supervisor, independent contractor and/or employee of each Defendant.

- 9. On or about July 16, 2012, Plaintiff was employed by Defendant Bloomberg and/or Doe Defendants as a Generalist. On or about April 2013 Plaintiff became an Equity Specialist. Continuing until on or about June 27, 2014, Plaintiff was qualified for employment with and employed full time by Defendant Bloomberg and/or Doe Defendants at the business office located at 3 Pier, San Francisco, CA 94111.
- 10. On or about July 16, 2013 and continuing through June 27, 2014, Plaintiff was a member of the protected class and entitled to protection pursuant to the Fair Employment and Housing Act ("FEHA"), as well as all applicable California and Federal law, at all relevant times herein.
- 11. On or about July 16, 2013 and continuing through June 27, 2014, Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, managers and/or supervisors were subject to the FEHA pursuant to Cal. Gov. Code Section 12926(d) and all other applicable laws of the Stare of California as said Defendants were and are employers who regularly employed five or more persons, at all relevant times herein.
- 12. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, subjected Plaintiff to a pattern of prohibited discrimination, harassment, disparate treatment, and retaliation; unlawfully denied Plaintiff a

work environment free from discrimination, denied Plaintiff raises, bonuses and promotions, denied Plaintiff family medical care and/or medical leave, denied Plaintiff reinstatement and unlawfully terminated him due to Plaintiff's national origin/ ancestry (Armenian), by and through the acts, omissions, conduct, statements, and/or representations, alleged herein.

- 13. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, subjected Plaintiff to a pattern of prohibited discrimination, harassment, disparate treatment, and retaliation; unlawfully denied Plaintiff a work environment free from harassment and discrimination, denied Plaintiff raises, bonuses and promotions, denied Plaintiff family medical care and/or medical leave, denied Plaintiff reinstatement and unlawfully terminated him due to Plaintiff's disability/medical condition, by and through the acts, omissions, conduct, statements, and/or representations, alleged herein.
- 14. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, the acts, omissions, conduct, statements and/or representations by Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, as alleged herein, were carried out and ratified by and through their employees, agents, representatives, managers, supervisors, officers and/or directors in their official capacities for said Defendants.
- 15. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, by and through their employees, agents, representatives, managers, supervisors, officers and/or directors in their official capacities for said Defendants, were responsible for the implementation of a number of policies and procedures and/or implementation whereby Plaintiff was treated substantially differently that the other similarly situated employees.
- 16. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants acted in concert and in furtherance of each other's interest, such that the acts, omissions, conduct, statements, and/or representations alleged herein were known to and ratified by other Defendants.

- 17. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, the acts, omissions, conduct, statements, and/or representations of Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, as alleged herein, were intentional and were not a normal part of Plaintiffs' employment.
- 18. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, Defendant Bloomberg and Does Defendants further engaged in setting up a series of pretexts against Plaintiff in an effort to lay a false basis to terminate him from their employ and/or discriminate or retaliate against him, as alleged herein. This pretextual false and/or inaccurate reasons to terminate Plaintiff were carried out with a premeditated mala fide action and/or conduct against Plaintiff creating a mens rea for the Defendants of malum in se and thereby subjecting them to damages to punish their conduct and/ or actions, as alleged herein.
- 19. On or about June 27, 2014, Plaintiff was terminated from his ful time employment as an Equity Specialist by Defendant Bloomberg and/or Doe Defendants. Such termination was unlawful and wrongful in violation of California law and otherwise, as alleged herein.
- 20. On or about October 29. 2014, Plaintiff filed a complaint with the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing concerning the charges alleged herein within the time provided by law. Plaintiff has received Notice of Right to sue pursuant to California Government Code Section 12965(b). Plaintiff has exhausted administrative remedies pursuant to the California Government Code. This Complaint is filed withing one year of the Notice of Right to Sue. (Exhibit 1: a true and correct copy of the Department of Fair Employment and Housing's Notice of Case Closure and Right to Sue letter is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference).

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

NATIONAL ORIGIN/ANCESTRY DISCRIMINATION

(Cal. Gov. Code Section 12940 et seq.)

(Against Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants)

21. The allegations of every other paragraph of this Complaint are incorporated herein

8

6

13

11

14

16

15

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

27

26 28 by reference as if set forth in full below.

- 22. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, discriminated and retaliated against Plaintiff because of Plaintiff's national origin/ancestry (Armenian). Plaintiff was subjected to separate, continuing and ongoing incidents of discrimination, harassment, and/or ridicule including, without limitation, ethnic epithets, prejudicial, disparaging, abusive, contemptuous, and/or crude and crass remarks, comments, jokes and/or statements based on Plaintiff's national origin/ ancestry (Armenian). Plaintiff was subjected to disparate treatment such that Plaintiff was denied equal working and/or employment conditions compared to other non-Armenian similarly situated employees including, without limitation, as follows: Plaintiff was constantly and continuously scrutinized for errors or fault in his work, which was a pretext to build a basis to terminate Plaintiff, compared to similarly situated non-Armenian employees who were not so scrutinized, based on Plaintiff's national origin/ancestry (Armenian); and Plaintiff was required to perform extra, additional and/or different work hours, work load, work duties and/or work obligations, compared to similarly situated non-Armenian employees who were not required to perform the same or similar extra, additional and/or different work hours, work load, work duties and/or work obligations as Plaintiff, based on Plaintiff's national origin/ancestry (Armenian). Plaintiff was subjected to retaliation when after he began to complain of such treatment, Plaintiff was then subjected to worse, more frequent discrimination of the same/similar type as alleged.
- 23. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, denied Plaintiff raises, bonuses and promotions based on Plaintiff's national origin/ancestry (Armenian). Plaintiff was subjected to disparate treatment based on his national origin/ancestry (Armenian) when he was denied the same or similar raises, bonuses, promotions, pay, and/or perks compared to other non-Armenian similarly

situated employees.

- 24. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, denied Plaintiff family medical care and/or medical leave based on Plaintiff's national origin/ancestry (Armenian). Plaintiff was subjected to disparate treatment based on his national origin/ancestry when he was denied the same or similar family medical care and/or medical leave compared to other non-Armenian similarly situated employees.
- 25. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, denied Plaintiff reinstatement to employment. Plaintiff was subjected to disparate treatment based on his national origin/ancestry (Armenian) when he was denied reinstatement compared to other non-Armenian similarly situated employees.
- 26. On or about June 27, 2014, Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, terminated Plaintiff based on Plaintiff's national origin/ancestry (Armenian) and Plaintiff's complaints of discrimination. Although said Defendants claimed that Plaintiff's termination was based on the pretext alleged herein and/or the complaints of other workers, Plaintiff performed his work as required by said Defendants. Plaintiff was subjected to disparate treatment based on his national origin/ancestry (Armenian) when he was terminated compared to other non-Armenian similarly situated employees.
- 27. Plaintiff complained of the discrimination, harassment, and/or ridicule alleged herein to Defendant Bloomberg and/or Doe Defendants and/or said Defendants otherwise knew or should have known about such discrimination, harassment, and/or ridicule. Notwithstanding, said Defendants failed to undertake an effective, thorough, complete and objective investigation

of the subject discrimination and harassment. Said Defendants failed to effectively, thoroughly, completely and objectively interview those persons who had knowledge of the discrimination, harassment, and/or ridicule of Plaintiff, including Plaintiff, the persons who discriminated, harassed, and/or ridiculed Plaintiff, and/or other victims of the same or similar discrimination, harassment, and/or ridicule. Said Defendants failed to fully and completely provide the results of any investigation to Plaintiff, the persons who discriminated, harassed, and/or ridiculed Plaintiff, and/or other victims of the same or similar discrimination, harassment, and/or ridicule. Said Defendants failed to take appropriate and effective action against those persons who discriminated, harassed, and/or ridiculed Plaintiff in proportion to the severity of the discrimination, harassment, and/or ridicule suffered by Plaintiff.

- 28. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, Defendant Bloomberg and/or Doe Defendants knew or should have known about the discrimination, harassment, and/or ridicule of Plaintiff, and/or said Defendants could have and should have reasonably anticipated national origin/ ancestry harassment and discrimination occurring at the workplace. Notwithstanding, said Defendants failed to take reasonable care and undertook no action to prevent and promptly correct such harassing or discrimination involving employees toward Plaintiff and/or other employees. Said Defendant knew or reasonably should have known that unless said Defendants intervened, adequately supervised, controlled, regulated, disciplined, and/or otherwise prohibited such harassing or discriminatory conduct involving employees toward Plaintiff and/or other employees, the employees engaging in such harassing or discriminatory conduct would believe and perceive that such conduct was ratified and/or condoned by said Defendants.
- 29. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment though June 27, 2014, the acts and conduct alleged herein by Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, were in violation of California Government Code Section 12940 et seq. Said statute imposes certain duties upon said Defendants, concerning discrimination and retaliation against persons, such as Plaintiff,

based on national origin/ancestry and the prohibition of national origin/ancestry discrimination and retaliation. Said statute was intended to prevent the type of injury and damage alleged herein.

- and On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment though June 27, 2014, through the acts and conduct alleged herein by Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, said Defendants, in violation of California Government Code Section 12940 et seq., knew about, or should have known about, and failed to investigate and/or properly investigate, prevent or remedy the national origin/ancestry discrimination and retaliation. The acts of discrimination and retaliation alleged herein were sufficiently severe and/or pervasive so as to alter the conditions of employment, and created an abusive and/or discriminatory working environment. The discrimination and retaliation of Plaintiff because of his national origin/ancestry (Armenian), through the actions and/or conduct alleged herein, amounted to unlawful conduct and/or actions on the part of said Defendants, and Plaintiff's national origin/ancestry (Armenian) was a substantial factor motivating said Defendants' conduct, and/or a motivating reason in said Defendants' conduct.
- 31. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, the acts and conduct alleged herein by Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, were the proximate, legal cause and/or a substantial factor in causing damages to Plaintiff, both special and general damages, according to proof at the time of trial.
- 32. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, the wilful, knowing, and/or intentional acts and conduct of discrimination and/or retaliation alleged herein by Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, directly and legally caused Plaintiff to

suffer actual damages pursuant to California Civil Code § 3333, including but not limited to, a substantial reduction in past and current income and future income potential in sums as may be shown according to proof; a substantial injury and damage to his occupation and professional reputation in a sum as may be shown according to proof; and extreme humiliation, embarrassment, depression, sleeplessness, emotional pain, emotional distress, mental anguish, loss of enjoyment of life and other losses from the date of said acts all to Plaintiff's damage in a sum as may be shown according to proof, as well as other general and special damages according to proof.

- 33. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, committed the acts and conduct alleged herein maliciously, fraudulently and oppressively with the wrongful intention of injuring Plaintiff, from an improper and evil motive amounting to malice, and in conscious disregard of the rights of Plaintiff. As a result, Plaintiff requests and is entitled to an award of punitive damages.
- 34. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, as a result of the acts and conduct alleged herein of Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, Plaintiff requests and is entitled to attorneys' fees and prejudgment interest. Pursuant to California Government Code Section 12965(b), Plaintiff requests and is entitled to an award of attorneys' fees against said Defendants under this cause of action.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

DISABILITY/MEDICAL CONDITION DISCRIMINATION

(Cal. Gov. Code Sec. 12940 et seq.)

(Against Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants)

35. The allegations of every other paragraph of this Complaint are incorporated herein by reference as if set forth in full below.

4 5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

1920

21

2223

24

25

26

2728

- 36. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, discriminated and retaliated against Plaintiff because of Plaintiff's disability/medical condition (clinical depression). Plaintiff's disability/ medical condition (clinical depression) was known by said Defendants, at all relevant times herein. Plaintiff was subjected to separate, continuing and ongoing incidents of adverse, disparate treatment and the failure to make reasonable accommodations by said Defendants based on Plaintiff's disability/medical condition (clinical depression) including, without limitation, as follows: Plaintiff was constantly and continuously scrutinized for errors or fault in his work, which was a pretext to build a basis to terminate Plaintiff for reasons other than his disability/ medical condition (clinical depression), which was actual motivation for the termination of Plaintiff; and Plaintiff was required to perform extra, additional and/or different work hours, work load, work duties and/or work obligations based on Plaintiff's disability/medical condition (clinical depression). Plaintiff was subjected to retaliation when after he complained of such adverse, disparate treatment and lack of reasonable accommodations he was then subjected to worse and more frequent adverse, disparate treatment and lack of reasonable accommodations.
- 37. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, denied Plaintiff a work environment free from disability/medical condition discrimination. The mangers and/or supervisors allowed, permitted, condoned and/or otherwise ratified other employees of Defendant Bloomberg and/or Does Defendants to discriminate against Plaintiff, who subjected Plaintiff to separate, continuing and ongoing incidents of adverse, disparate treatment based on Plaintiff's disability/medical condition (clinical depression).
- 38. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their

employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, denied Plaintiff raises, bonuses and promotions based on Plaintiff's disability/medical condition (clinical depression). Plaintiff was subjected to adverse, disparate treatment when he was denied raises, bonuses, promotions, pay, and/or perks based on Plaintiff's disability/medical condition (clinical depression).

- 39. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, denied Plaintiff family medical care and/or medical leave based on Plaintiff's disability/medical condition (clinical depression). Plaintiff was subjected to adverse, disparate treatment when he was denied family medical care and/or medical leave based on Plaintiff's disability/medical condition (clinical depression).
- 40. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, denied Plaintiff reinstatement to employment. Plaintiff was subjected to adverse, disparate treatment when he was denied reinstatement based on Plaintiff's disability/medical condition (clinical depression).
- 41. On or about June 27, 2014, Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, terminated Plaintiff based on Plaintiff's disability/medical condition (clinical depression). Although said Defendants claimed that Plaintiff's termination was based on the pretext alleged herein and/or the complaints of other workers, Plaintiff performed his work as required by said Defendants. Plaintiff's termination was motivated by his disability/medical condition (clinical depression).
- 42. Plaintiff complained of the adverse treatment and failure to make reasonable accommodations in consideration of his disability/medical condition (clinical depression) alleged herein to Defendant Bloomberg and/or Doe Defendants and/or said Defendants otherwise knew

or should have known about such adverse, disparate treatment and failure to make reasonable accommodations. Notwithstanding, said Defendants failed to undertake an effective, thorough, complete and objective investigation of the subject discrimination. Said Defendants failed to effectively, thoroughly, completely and objectively interview those persons who had knowledge of the discrimination, including Plaintiff, the persons who discriminated against Plaintiff, and/or other victims of the same or similar discrimination. Said Defendants failed to fully and completely provide the results of any investigation to Plaintiff, the persons who discriminated, against Plaintiff, and/or other victims of the same or similar discrimination. Said Defendants failed to take appropriate and effective action against those persons who discriminated against Plaintiff in proportion to the severity of the discrimination suffered by Plaintiff.

- 43. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, Defendant Bloomberg and/or Doe Defendants knew or should have known about the adverse, disparate treatment of Plaintiff and failure to make reasonable accommodations in consideration of his disability/medical condition (clinical depression) alleged herein, and/or said Defendants could have and should have reasonably anticipated disability/medical condition discrimination occurring at the workplace.

 Notwithstanding, said Defendants failed to take reasonable care and undertook no action to prevent and promptly correct such discrimination involving employees toward Plaintiff and/or other employees. Said Defendant knew or reasonably should have known that unless said Defendants intervened, adequately supervised, controlled, regulated, disciplined, and/or otherwise prohibited such discriminatory conduct involving employees toward Plaintiff and/or other employees, the employees engaging in such harassing or discriminatory conduct would believe and perceive that such conduct was ratified and/or condoned by said Defendants.
- 44. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, the acts and conduct alleged herein by Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, were in violation of California Government Code Section 12940 et seq. Said statute imposes certain duties upon

said Defendants, concerning discrimination and retaliation against persons, such as Plaintiff, based on disability/medical condition. Said statute was intended to prevent the type of injury and damage alleged herein.

- 45. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, through the acts and conduct alleged herein by Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, said Defendants, in violation of California Government Code Section 12940 et seq., knew about, or should have known about, and failed to investigate and/or properly investigate, prevent or remedy the disability/medical condition discrimination and retaliation. The acts of discrimination and retaliation alleged herein were sufficiently severe and/or pervasive so as to alter the conditions of employment, and created an abusive and/or discriminatory working environment. The discrimination and retaliation of Plaintiff because of his disability/medical condition (clinical depression), through the actions and/or conduct alleged herein, amounted to unlawful conduct and/or actions on the part of said Defendants, and Plaintiff's disability/medical condition (clinical depression) was a substantial factor motivating said Defendants' conduct, and/or a motivating reason in said Defendants' conduct.
- 46. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, the acts and conduct alleged herein by Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, were the proximate, legal cause and/or a substantial factor in causing damages to Plaintiff, both special and general damages, according to proof at the time of trial.
- 47. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, the wilful, knowing, and/or intentional acts and conduct of discrimination and/or retaliation alleged herein by Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, directly and legally caused Plaintiff to

suffer actual damages pursuant to California Civil Code § 3333, including but not limited to, a

substantial reduction in past and current income and future income potential in sums as may be shown according to proof; a substantial injury and damage to his occupation and professional reputation in a sum as may be shown according to proof; and extreme humiliation, embarrassment, depression, sleeplessness, emotional pain, emotional distress, mental anguish, loss of enjoyment of life and other losses from the date of said acts all to Plaintiff's damage in a sum as may be shown according to proof, as well as other general and special damages according to proof.

48. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's

- 48. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, committed the acts and conduct alleged herein maliciously, fraudulently and oppressively with the wrongful intention of injuring Plaintiff, from an improper and evil motive amounting to malice, and in conscious disregard of the rights of Plaintiff. As a result, Plaintiff requests and is entitled to an award of punitive damages.
- 49. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, as a result of the acts and conduct alleged herein of Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, Plaintiff requests and is entitled to attorneys' fees and prejudgment interest. Pursuant to California Government Code Section 12965(b), Plaintiff requests and is entitled to an award of attorneys' fees against said Defendants under this cause of action.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

HARASSMENT AND HOSTILE WORK ENVIRONMENT

(California Government Code Section 12940 (j))

(Against Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants)

50. The allegations of every other paragraph of this Complaint are incorporated herein by reference as if set forth in full below.

2

- 51. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, harassed Plaintiff based on his national origin/ancestry (Armenian). Plaintiff was subjected to a pattern of separate, continuing and ongoing incidents of harassment including, without limitation, ethnic epithets, prejudicial, disparaging, abusive, contemptuous, and/or crude and crass remarks, comments, jokes and/or statements, and/or as otherwise alleged herein, based on Plaintiff's national origin/ancestry (Armenian). Plaintiff was also subjected to a pattern of separate, continuing and ongoing incidents of harassment including, without limitation, harassing, humiliating, disparaging, abusive, contemptuous, and/or crude and crass remarks, comments, jokes and/or statements, and/or as otherwise alleged herein, based on Plaintiff's disability/medical condition (clinical depression).
- 52. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, created a hostile work environment on the basis of Plaintiff's national origin/ancestry (Armenian). The mangers and/or supervisors condoned, ratified, allowed and/or otherwise permitted other employees of Defendant Bloomberg and/or Does Defendants to harass Plaintiff and subject him to separate, continuing and ongoing incidents of harassment including, without limitation, ethnic epithets, prejudicial, disparaging, abusive, contemptuous, and/or crude and crass remarks, comments, jokes and/or statements based on Plaintiff's national origin/ancestry (Armenian). The mangers and/or supervisors condoned, ratified, allowed and/or otherwise permitted other employees of Defendant Bloomberg and/or Does Defendants to harass Plaintiff and subject him to separate, continuing and ongoing incidents of harassment including, without limitation, harassing, humiliating, disparaging, abusive, contemptuous, and/or crude and crass remarks, comments, jokes and/or statements, and/or as otherwise alleged herein, based on Plaintiff's disability/medical condition (clinical depression). The discrimination and harassment were severe and/or pervasive and an

6

11 12

13 14

> 15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

ongoing and continuous course of conduct so as to create a hostile work environment. Said Defendants took tangible employment action against Plaintiff for his refusal to acquiesce to the discrimination and harassment.

- 53. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, the acts and conduct alleged herein by Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, which harassed Plaintiff based on his national origin/ancestry (Armenian), violated California Government Code Section 12940 et seq. The acts and conduct alleged herein by Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, which created a hostile work environment based on Plaintiffs national origin/ancestry (Armenian), violated California Government Code Section 12940 et seq.
- 54. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, the acts and conduct alleged herein by Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, were the proximate, legal cause and/or a substantial factor in causing damages to Plaintiff, both special and general damages, according to proof at the time of trial.
- 55. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, the wilful, knowing, and/or intentional acts and conduct of discrimination and/or retaliation alleged herein by Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, directly and legally caused Plaintiff to suffer actual damages pursuant to California Civil Code § 3333, including but not limited to, a substantial reduction in past and current income and future income potential in sums as may be shown according to proof; a substantial injury and damage to his occupation and professional reputation in a sum as may be shown according to proof; and extreme humiliation,

 embarrassment, depression, sleeplessness, emotional pain, emotional distress, mental anguish, loss of enjoyment of life and other losses from the date of said acts all to Plaintiff's damage in a sum as may be shown according to proof, as well as other general and special damages according to proof.

- 56. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, committed the acts and conduct alleged herein maliciously, fraudulently and oppressively with the wrongful intention of injuring Plaintiff, from an improper and evil motive amounting to malice, and in conscious disregard of the rights of Plaintiff. As a result, Plaintiff requests and is entitled to an award of punitive damages.
- 57. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, as a result of the acts and conduct alleged herein of Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, Plaintiff requests and is entitled to attorneys' fees and prejudgment interest. Pursuant to California Government Code Section 12965(b), Plaintiff requests and is entitled to an award of attorneys' fees against said Defendants under this cause of action.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

RETALIATION

(California Government Code Section 12940 (h))

(Against Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants)

- 58. The allegations of every other paragraph of this Complaint are incorporated herein by reference as if set forth in full below.
- 59. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, undertook retaliatory and/or adverse

employment action against Plaintiff, which materially affected the terms and conditions of his employment, in response to Plaintiffs complaints of and/or opposition to the acts and conduct of discrimination and/or disparate treatment alleged herein. After Plaintiff complained of and/or opposed such acts and conduct of discrimination and/or disparate treatment, and in response thereto, said Defendants subjected Plaintiff to even worse and more frequent acts and conduct of discrimination and/or disparate treatment, which were the same or similar to the acts and conduct of discrimination and/or disparate treatment alleged herein.

- 60. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, the mangers and/or supervisors of Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, in response to Plaintiff's complaints of and/or opposition to the acts and conduct of discrimination and/or disparate treatment alleged herein, condoned, ratified, allowed and/or otherwise permitted other employees of Defendant Bloomberg and/or Does Defendants to subject Plaintiff to even worse and more frequent acts and conduct of discrimination and/or disparate treatment, which were the same or similar to the acts and conduct of discrimination and/or disparate treatment alleged herein.
- 61. On or about June 27, 2014, Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, terminated Plaintiff in response to Plaintiffs complaints of and/or opposition to the acts and conduct of discrimination and/or disparate treatment alleged herein. Said Defendants claimed that Plaintiff's based on other reasons and the complaints of other workers, however, Plaintiff's termination was motivated by and in retaliation for Plaintiff's prior complaints of and/or opposition to the acts and conduct of discrimination and/or disparate treatment alleged herein.
- 62. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, the acts and conduct alleged herein by Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, which were

retaliatory and/or adverse employment action taken against Plaintiff in response to Plaintiffs' prior complaints of and/or opposition to the acts and conduct of discrimination and/or disparate treatment alleged herein, violated California Government Code Section 12940 et seq. The acts and conduct alleged herein by Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, to terminate Plaintiff's employment in response to Plaintiffs' prior complaints of and/or opposition to the acts and conduct of discrimination and/or disparate treatment alleged herein, violated California Government Code Section 12940 et seq.

- 63. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, the acts and conduct alleged herein by Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, were the proximate, legal cause and/or a substantial factor in causing damages to Plaintiff, both special and general damages, according to proof at the time of trial.
- employment through June 27, 2014, the wilful, knowing, and/or intentional acts and conduct of discrimination and/or retaliation alleged herein by Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, directly and legally caused Plaintiff to suffer actual damages pursuant to California Civil Code § 3333, including but not limited to, a substantial reduction in past and current income and future income potential in sums as may be shown according to proof; a substantial injury and damage to his occupation and professional reputation in a sum as may be shown according to proof; and extreme humiliation, embarrassment, depression, sleeplessness, emotional pain, emotional distress, mental anguish, loss of enjoyment of life and other losses from the date of said acts all to Plaintiff's damage in a sum as may be shown according to proof, as well as other general and special damages according to proof.

- 65. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, committed the acts and conduct alleged herein maliciously, fraudulently and oppressively with the wrongful intention of injuring Plaintiff, from an improper and evil motive amounting to malice, and in conscious disregard of the rights of Plaintiff. As a result, Plaintiff requests and is entitled to an award of punitive damages.
- 66. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, as a result of the acts and conduct alleged herein of Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, Plaintiff requests and is entitled to attorneys' fees and prejudgment interest. Pursuant to California Government Code Section 12965(b), Plaintiff requests and is entitled to an award of attorneys' fees against said Defendants under this cause of action.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

FAILURE TO PREVENT HARASSMENT, HOSTILE WORK ENVIRONMENT, DISCRIMINATION AND RETALIATION

(California Government Code Section 12940(k))

(Against Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants)

- 67. The allegations of every other paragraph of this Complaint are incorporated herein by reference as if set forth in full below.
- 68. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, failed to take all reasonable steps necessary to prevent the harassment, hostile work environment, discrimination, disparate treatment, and/or retaliation alleged herein from occurring. Said Defendants did not undertake any reasonable investigation of the subject incidents and did not implement and reasonable work place

procedures, regulations, protocol, and/or practices to stop the harassment, hostile work environment, discrimination, disparate treatment, and/or retaliation alleged herein from occurring. The mangers and/or supervisors of Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, condoned, ratified, allowed and/or otherwise permitted other employees of Defendant Bloomberg and/or Does Defendants to subject Plaintiff to the same or worse harassment, hostile work environment, discrimination, disparate treatment, and/or retaliation alleged herein.

- employment through June 27, 2014, Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, failed to remedy the harassment, hostile work environment, discrimination, disparate treatment, and/or retaliation alleged herein. Said Defendants did not undertake any reasonable investigation of the subject incidents and did not implement any reasonable work place procedures, protocol, and/or practices to remedy the harassment, hostile work environment, discrimination, disparate treatment, and/or retaliation alleged herein from occurring. The mangers and/or supervisors of Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, condoned, ratified, allowed and/or otherwise permitted other employees of Defendant Bloomberg and/or Does Defendants to subject Plaintiff to the same or worse harassment, hostile work environment, discrimination, disparate treatment, and/or retaliation alleged herein.
- 70. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, the acts, conduct and/or omissions alleged herein by Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, which failed to prevent the harassment, hostile work environment, discrimination, disparate treatment, and/or retaliation alleged herein from occurring, violated California

Government Code Section 12940 et seq. The acts, conduct and/or omissions alleged herein by

 Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, which failed to remedy the harassment, hostile work environment, discrimination, disparate treatment, and/or retaliation alleged herein, violated California Government Code Section 12940 et seq.

71. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, the acts and conduct alleged herein by Defendant Bloomberg.

- 71. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, the acts and conduct alleged herein by Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, were the proximate, legal cause and/or a substantial factor in causing damages to Plaintiff, both special and general damages, according to proof at the time of trial.
- 72. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, the wilful, knowing, and/or intentional acts and conduct of discrimination and/or retaliation alleged herein by Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, directly and legally caused Plaintiff to suffer actual damages pursuant to California Civil Code § 3333, including but not limited to, a substantial reduction in past and current income and future income potential in sums as may be shown according to proof; a substantial injury and damage to his occupation and professional reputation in a sum as may be shown according to proof; and extreme humiliation, embarrassment, depression, sleeplessness, emotional pain, emotional distress, mental anguish, loss of enjoyment of life and other losses from the date of said acts all to Plaintiff's damage in a sum as may be shown according to proof, as well as other general and special damages according to proof.
- 73. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of

such employment, agency and/or representation, committed the acts and conduct alleged herein maliciously, fraudulently and oppressively with the wrongful intention of injuring Plaintiff, from an improper and evil motive amounting to malice, and in conscious disregard of the rights of Plaintiff. Defendant Bloomberg and/or Doe Defendants had advanced knowledge of the unfitness of their employees, supervisors and/or mangers with regard to their views and/or potential treatment of Armenians, but employed them nonetheless with a conscious disregard of the rights and safety of the Plaintiff and others, or ratified or authorized the harassment, hostile work environment, discrimination, disparate treatment, and/or retaliation alleged herein. Many of the acts of harassment, discrimination, disparate treatment, and/or retaliation alleged herein were directly undertaken by the owners and managing agents of Defendant Bloomberg and/or Doe Defendants. The advance knowledge, or acts of oppression, fraud, or malice or acts of ratification or authorization were on the part of the owners or other managing agents acting on behalf of Defendant Bloomberg and/or Doe Defendants. As a result, Plaintiff requests and is entitled to an award of punitive damages.

74. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, as a result of the acts and conduct alleged herein of Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, Plaintiff requests and is entitled to attorneys' fees and prejudgment interest. Pursuant to California Government Code Section 12965(b), Plaintiff requests and is entitled to an award of attorneys' fees against said Defendants under this cause of action.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

WRONGFUL TERMINATION IN VIOLATION OF PUBLIC POLICY

(Against Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants)

- 75. The allegations of every other paragraph of this Complaint are incorporated herein by reference as if set forth in full below.
- 76. On or about 27, 2014, Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of

such employment, agency and/or representation, terminated Plaintiff in violation of a fundamental public policy. Plaintiff's termination was based on or motivated by his national origin/ancestry (Armenian) and/or disability/medical condition (clinical depression).

- 77. The personal right which is of fundamental public importance is the prohibition against discrimination and retaliation by employers against their employees based on national origin/ancestry (Armenian) and/or disability/medical condition (clinical depression). This public policy of the State of California is codified in and supported by California Government Code Sections 12940 and 12941, inures to the benefit of the public, designed to protect all employees, promoted the welfare and well-being of the community at large, was articulated and in full force and effect at the time of Plaintiff's discharge, and is a fundamental and substantial.
- 78. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, the acts, conduct and/or omissions alleged herein by Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, which resulted in the termination of Plaintiff based on or motivated by his national origin/ancestry (Armenian) and/or disability/medical condition (clinical depression), violated California Government Code Sections 12940 and 12941, and the laws and regulations promulgated thereunder.
- 79. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, the acts and conduct alleged herein by Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, were the proximate, legal cause and/or a substantial factor in causing damages to Plaintiff, both special and general damages, according to proof at the time of trial.
- **80.** On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, the wilful, knowing, and/or intentional acts and conduct of discrimination and/or retaliation alleged herein by Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, directly and legally caused Plaintiff to suffer actual damages pursuant to California Civil Code § 3333, including but not limited to, a substantial reduction in past and current income and future income potential in sums as may be shown according to proof; a substantial injury and damage to his occupation and professional reputation in a sum as may be shown according to proof; and extreme humiliation, embarrassment, depression, sleeplessness, emotional pain, emotional distress, mental anguish, loss of enjoyment of life and other losses from the date of said acts all to Plaintiff's damage in a sum as may be shown according to proof, as well as other general and special damages according to proof.

- 81. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, committed the acts and conduct alleged herein maliciously, fraudulently and oppressively with the wrongful intention of injuring Plaintiff, from an improper and evil motive amounting to malice, and in conscious disregard of the rights of Plaintiff. Defendant Bloomberg and/or Doe Defendants had advanced knowledge of the unfitness of their employees, supervisors and/or mangers with regard to their views and/or potential treatment of Armenians, but employed them nonetheless with a conscious disregard of the rights and safety of the Plaintiff and others, or ratified or authorized the harassment, hostile work environment, discrimination, disparate treatment, and/or retaliation alleged herein. Many of the acts of harassment, discrimination, disparate treatment, and/or retaliation alleged herein were directly undertaken by the owners and managing agents of Defendant Bloomberg and/or Doe Defendants. The advance knowledge, or acts of oppression, fraud, or malice or acts of ratification or authorization were on the part of the owners or other managing agents acting on behalf of Defendant Bloomberg and/or Doe Defendants. As a result, Plaintiff requests and is entitled to an award of punitive damages.
- 82. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, as a result of the acts and conduct alleged herein of

Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, Plaintiff requests and is entitled to attorneys' fees and prejudgment interest.

Pursuant to California Government Code Section 12965(b), Plaintiff requests and is entitled to an award of attorneys' fees against said Defendants under this cause of action.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

NEGLIGENT HIRING, RETENTION AND SUPERVISION

(Against Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants)

- 83. The allegations of every other paragraph of this Complaint are incorporated herein by reference as if set forth in full below.
- 84. Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, knew or should have known that certain employees, mangers and supervisors hired and/or retained were incompetent or unfit for the job, or posed a particular harm to others and that harm materialized, and/or failed to use reasonable care to discover their unfitness, unfitness, or particular harm before hiring them, which proximately caused injuries and/or damages to Plaintiff, at all relevant times herein.
- 85. Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, knew or reasonably should have known, that employees, mangers and supervisors had engaged in the acts and conduct alleged herein, at all relevant times herein. Said Defendants knew or should have known that employees, mangers and supervisors had a previous history of engaging in acts and conduct alleged herein which could cause injury and/or damage to Plaintiff and others, and failed to do anything to prevent such injury and/or damages, at all relevant times herein. Said Defendants knew or reasonably should have known that the acts and conduct alleged herein violated Plaintiff's rights under federal and state law, at all relevant times herein.

Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents,

representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment,

agency and/or representation, knew or reasonably should have known that the acts and conduct

Plaintiff, at all relevant times herein. The conduct, acts and/or omissions alleged herein by said

Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents,

representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment,

to protect Plaintiff, and to adequately supervise, prohibit, control, regulate, discipline, and/or

otherwise penalize the acts and conduct of the supervisors or other employees alleged herein,

the remaining Defendants and employees perceived the conduct and omissions as ratified and

condoned, at all relevant times herein. The negligent failures of the Defendants to protect

Plaintiff, and to supervise, prohibit, control, regulate, discipline, and/or otherwise penalize

adequately the conduct and omissions of the supervisors and employees alleged herein violated

Plaintiff suffered actual injury and harm, both general and special damages,

agency and/or representation, knew or reasonably should have known that unless they intervened

alleged herein could, would and did proximately result in injury and emotional distress to

Defendants were substantial factor in causing injury and harm to Plaintiff.

86.

87.

88.

damages, according to proof at trial.

4

11

12

10

13

1415

16

17 18

19

2021

22

23

2425

26

27

28

including, without limitation, injuries to their health, strength and well being, physical injuries, past, present and future, emotional distress, anxiety, headaches, tension, depression, humiliation, pain and suffering, medical expenses, past, present and future, lose of income, past, present and future, loss of earning capacity, past, present and future, and other general and/or special

Plaintiff's rights under state statutes and common law, at all relevant times herein.

EIGHT CAUSE OF ACTION

INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

(Against Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants)

89. The allegations of every other paragraph of this Complaint are incorporated herein by reference as if set forth in full below.

11

1415

16 17

18

19 20

21

2223

25

24

26 27

28

90. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, engaged in outrageous conduct with the intent to cause, or with reckless disregard of the probability of causing, emotional distress, the outrageous conduct was a substantial factor in causing Plaintiff's severe emotional distress, and Plaintiff suffered severe emotional distress.

- 91. On or about July 16, 2012, and continuing during and throughout Plaintiff's employment through June 27, 2014, the acts and conduct alleged herein by Defendant Bloomberg and Doe Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, mangers and/or supervisors, within the course and scope of such employment, agency and/or representation, were egregious and significantly exceeded the ordinary or normal nature and scope of the employment relationship. Said Defendants knew that the acts and conduct alleged herein would result in Plaintiff's severe emotional distress, and such acts and conduct was perpetuated by said Defendant with the intent to inflict, or with reckless disregard of inflicting, severe humiliation, mental anguish and/or emotional distress upon Plaintiff, with such acts and conduct alleged herein resulting in or causing Plaintiff to suffer severe, humiliation, mental anguish and/or emotional distress, and Plaintiff actually suffering severe, humiliation, mental anguish and/or emotional distress. Defendants Bloomberg and/or Doe Defendants are liable for the acts and conduct alleged herein by their employees, managers and/or supervisors because they ratified such acts and conduct by continuing to employ the employees, managers and/or supervisors who engaged in the acts and conduct alleged herein despite their knowledge of their propensities, failing to fully investigate Plaintiff's complaints, and retaining the employees, mangers and/or supervisors.
- 92. Plaintiff suffered actual injury and harm, both general and special damages, including, without limitation, injury to their health, strength and well being, physical injuries, past, present and future, server humiliation, mental anguish, emotional distress, medical expenses, past, present and future, lose of income, past, present and future, loss of earning

Case 3:15-cv-00388-TEH Document 1-1 Filed 01/27/15 Page 33 of 35

1.3

capac	eity, past, present and future, and other general and/or special damages, according to proof at				
trial.					
·	PRAYER				
WHE	REFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment against Defendants, as follows:				
1.	For general damages in an amount according to proof;				
2.	For special damages in an amount according to proof;				
3.	For compensatory damages including but not limited to lost wages, lost employee				
	benefits, bonuses, mental and emotional distress, medical and related expenses, expense				
	of seeking substitute employment, loss of benefits, and other special, general and				
	compensatory damages in an amount according to proof;				
4.	For punitive and exemplary damages in an amount according to proof;				
5.	For attorneys' fees pursuant to California Government Code Section 12965, and other				
	provisions of law;				
6.	For prejudgment and post judgment interest as allowed by law;				
7.	For costs of suit; and				
8.	For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.				
Dated	d: December, 2014 By: John Akopian, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff Edgar Harutyunyan				
JURY TRIAL DEMAND					
	Plaintiff hereby demands trial of all issues by jury.				
Dated	d: December, 2014 By: John Akopian, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff Edgar Harutyunyan				
	21				

DRAFT

DIRECTOR PHYLLIS AT CHERIC

Edgar Harutyunyan 3003 Olin Ave Apt 219 San Jose California 95128

RE: Notice of Case Closure and Right to Sue

DFEH Matter Number: 377579-127856

Right to Sue: Harutyunyan / Mary Hannawacker Bloomberg L.P.

Dear Edgar Harutyunyan,

This letter informs you that the above-referenced complaint was filed with the Department of Fair Employment and Housing (DFEH) has been closed effective because an immediate Right to Sue notice was requested. DFEH will take no further action on the complaint.

This letter is also your Right to Sue notice. According to Government Code section 12965, subdivision (b), a civil action may be brought under the provisions of the Fair employment and Housing Act against the person, employer, labor organization or employment agency named in the above-referenced complaint. The civil action must be filed within one year from the date of this letter.

To obtain a federal Right to Sue notice, you must visit the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) to file a complaint within 30 days of receipt of this DFEH Notice of Case Closure or within 300 days of the alleged discriminatory act, whichever is earlier.

Sincerely,

Department of Fair Employment and Housing